BEFORE THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT JABALPUR.

W.P.NO. 4262 /99

PETITIONER : 1. Bramha Deo Sharma, aged 68 yrs,
S/o Late Pt. Kunj Biharilal,
Former Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes, Govt. of India
Presently, President of Bharat Jan Andolan, R/o Village Maulibhata,
P.O. Dilimili,Distt. Bastar.

2. Vishnu Prabhakar, aged 25 yrs,
S/o Shri Chaitram Dhruv ,
R/o Bagrumnala(Kawachipara),
Post Keregaon, P.S.Sirjuni,
Tah. Nagri, Distt. Dhamtari (MP)

V/s

RESPONDENTS: 1. The Union of India,
through the Secretary,
Ministry of Rural Development Deptt.,
New Delhi.

2. The Director, (E.A.S.), Govt.of India,
Ministry of Rural Development,
Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. The State of M.P.,
through the Chief Secretary,
Mantralaya , Bhopal.

4. The Secretary,
Govt. of M.P. Rural Development Deptt.,
Mantralaya, Bhopal.

5. The Collector, Raipur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

DIVISION BENCH

90

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI H.L. DATTU, CJ &
HON'BLE SHRI V.K. SHRIVASTAVA, J.

WRIT PETITION NO. 4262 OF 1999

PETITIONER

1. Bramha Deo Sharma, aged 68 years, S/o Late Pt. Kunj Biharilal, Former Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes, Govt. of India, Presently R/o Bharat Jan Andolan, R/o Village Maulibhata, P.O. Dilimill, Distt. Bastar.

2. Vishnu Prabhakar, aged 25 years, S/o Shri Chaitram Dhruv, R/o Bagrumnala (Kawachipara) Post Keregaon, P.S. Sirjuni, Tah. Nagri, Distt. Dhamtari.

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. The Union of India, Through the Secretary, Ministry of Rural Development Deptt., New Delhi.

2. The Director, (E.A.S.)., Govt. of India, Ministry of Rural Development, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. The State of M.P., through the Chief Secretary, Mantralaya, Bhopal.

4. The Secretary, Govt. of M.P. Rural Development Deptt., Mantralaya, Bhopal.

5. The Collector, Raipur.

Present :- None for the petitioners.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 26th March, 2007)

The following oral order of the Court was passed by H.L. Dattu, CJ. 91

When the matter was called for hearing none appeared nor any representation was made on behalf of the petitioners. The petitioners may not be interested in the matter. Therefore, the petition is rejected for non-prosecution. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
Chief Justice

Sd//-
V.K.Shrivastava
Judge